



See public meetings schedule on these pages and check online for agendas, meeting notes and announcements  
**City of Lafayette:**  
 www.lovelafayette.org  
 Phone: (925) 284-1968  
**Chamber of Commerce:**  
 www.lafayettechamber.org

**Lafayette Public Meetings**  
**City Council**

Regular Meeting: Tuesday, May 28, 7 p.m.  
 Lafayette Library & Learning Center-Don Tatzin Community Hall

**Planning Commission Meeting**

Monday, June 3, 7 p.m.  
 Lafayette Library & Learning Center-Don Tatzin Community Hall

**Design Review**

Monday, June 10, 7 p.m.  
 Lafayette Library & Learning Center-Arts & Science Discovery Room

**DanaGreenTeam**

Lamorinda's #1 Real Estate Team Since 2010



**Sky Hy Adress, Down to Earth Price!**

**616 Sky Hy Circle, Lafayette**  
 5 Bed | 3.5 Bath | 3850± Sq. Ft. | .29± Acre Lot  
 Offered at \$2,959,000 | 616SkyHyCircle.com

DanaGreenTeam.com | 925.339.1918 | DRE 01482454



**Potential park land acquisition moves into negotiations**

... continued from Page 1

That application is currently being processed by the City Planning Department while the PTR department continues its review and the council

determines its interest in acquiring the land.

Importantly, Lafayette's Downtown Specific plan calls for three parks in the downtown area. Gazebo Park and Town Green are under consideration or en-

visioned, respectively: the Lafayette Circle site is not listed in the 2012 plan.

The staff report Katayanagi used during his presentation to council also included relevant PTR information that could prove vital to the council's evaluation of the purchase:

"The 2009 Parks and Recreation Facilities Master Plan does not specifically mention a park in Lafayette Circle; however, the City is still over 25 acres short of the plan's goal of 5 acres of land for every 1,000 residents. The plan calls for downtown parks and provides needs such as off-leash dog areas and neighborhood parks, with a potential size from 0.1 acres to two acres."

The PTR Commission has reviewed the site and following a 7-2 vote, Katayanagi said the recommendation to council was to engage in a negotiation process with the property owner. The recommendation did not come with a proposal or advice to develop the site specifically as parkland; only to begin discussions. While considering different uses prior to coming to the council, the commission determined the small size of the parcel to be the most limiting factor in terms of development.

Katayanagi presented a list of pros and cons to council to aid in the decision about whether or not to enter the negotiation process. Included in the pros



Image provided

were a property owner willing to negotiate with the city, funds available that can only be used for Parkland purchases, a location immediately adjacent to 66 apartments, and existing utilities. He said parcels that become available often "sell faster than I could even get them to the Parks Commission, so having a property owner that is willing to negotiate with the city is a key feature in this property."

Among the cons are the maintenance and facility costs (the General Fund has a deficit and negative balance in the Parks Facilities Fund), inability to count on timing for development fees owed to the city that might be used to offset budget challenges, and the specific site is not listed in the City's official park opportunities. Ironically, the lot's diminutive size landed in both pro and con columns: while the best design and use as a park is made more complex by size limitations, the smaller footprint would mean maintenance and development come at a lower price point for the City's already challenged parks budget.

With an approved motion to proceed with negotiations, the city would not be making a decision to acquire the property, he emphasized. The urgency of moving into closed session is accelerated by the history of potential sites selling quickly before the city can enter negotiations, and the property owner's dual track plans that have potential single-home

development running parallel to the city's interest in acquisition. Katayanagi reiterated that, although there are many factors to weigh in determining the site's eventual use, opportunities for parks in the downtown core "come and go very quickly" and expediency is encouraged.

Council member John McCarthy asked about a mention in the PTR report of Native American artifacts found in the 1960s, but Katayanagi quickly clarified the matter. He said the report stated that the items were found at an adjacent site, not on the parcel at 221 Lafayette Circle. If such items were to be found, consultations with the tribes involved would be held and such action is built into the process for any development project.

A second question concerned allowing for adequate public comment about the best use of the parcel, which Katayanagi said would occur and be a priority. Council member Karl Anduri asked about the PTR commissioners' discussions of ideas for uses other than a park. Katayanagi said the commissioners were "conflicted" and considered alternatives such as a small off-leash dog space, passive green space, a half-court basketball court, and other options. Even so, the recommendation remained for council to move forward to initiate negotiations.

Public comment included one man who supported the value of

parks to community and individual health. "We are under-indexed on park space," he said. He added that a small park would add excitement and vigor to that location in the downtown core.

Council member Susan Candell supported the idea of a park in that area, if there is community input indicating interest, despite the parcel's small size. Council member McCarthy said whatever is determined to be the use must be something the community can "really rally behind."


Mayor Gina Dawson expressed concern about the city's limited resources and funding the project. The negotiation process involves the City Manager, and the public engagement process would be extensive and use considerable staff time. Additionally, the exact price of the parcel is estimated only, and would be clarified by appraisals that begin only after entering the negotiation process. This topic brought up the closed session that is the council's next step and would allow in the early stages for an appraisal to be compared to previous estimates. Anduri made a motion "to declare our intention to negotiate for the purchase of (the parcel) at 221 Lafayette Circle and schedule a closed session to discuss price and terms of payment."

The motion passed 4-1, with Mayor Dawson the one "nay" based on budget concerns she would prefer are resolved prior to moving forward.


**BATTERSBY.**



3807 Palo Alto Dr  
 Sold for \$4,425,000



1138 Garden Ln  
 Sold for \$1,750,000



300 N Civic #411  
 Offered for \$345,000

**Ashley Battersby**

Broker/Partner  
 (925) 323-9955  
 ashley@ashleybattersby.com  
 @ashleybattersbyhome  
 DRE# 01407784




It's Village. Of Course.

**THE KURT PIPER GROUP PRESENTS**

**JUST LISTED**



800 Mariposa Road, Lafayette  
 4 Bedroom | 4 Bath | 2,872 sq. ft. | .55 Acre Lot  
 Offered at \$2,925,000




**Kurt Piper**

Realtor® | Broker Associate  
 925.818.8000 | DRE 01130308  
 Kurt@KurtPiperGroup.com  
 KurtPiperGroup.com



800 Mariposa Road



**You are invited to be part of the 3rd Annual Lafayette Community Day!**



**Saturday, June 1**

**The Lafayette Plaza**  
 8am - 1:30pm

Meaningful service projects in the morning followed by a delicious lunch by All The Smoke BBQ, music by local band, Random Nature, and fun activities in The Plaza.

Go to **LafayetteCommunityDay.org** to register today!

DONATIONS PLEASE! Donate food items and clothing at Batch & Brine NOW. Bring donations to The Plaza on Community Day.

Major Sponsors



Participating Partners

